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ABSTRACT

Nomenclature and taxonomy of Sicyos L. in Hawaii'i are clarified as a precursor to the Manual of Flowering Plants of Hawaii'i. Sarz H. St. John is reduced to synonymy under Sicyos. New combinations Sicyos herbstii (H. St. John) Telford and Sicyos alba (H. St. John) Telford are made and Sicyos hillebrandii var. anunu H. St. John given new status as S. anunu (H. St. John) Telford. Sicyos pachycarpus Hook. & Arn. is lectotypified and shown to be the correct name for the species known as S. microcarpus H. Mann.
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INTRODUCTION

In Hillebrand's Flora of the Hawaiian Islands (1888, p 134), Sicyos L. was treated as the only indigenous genus of Cucurbitaceae with 8 endemic species. Since then, 5 genera have been segregated from Sicyos in Hawaii'i and an additional 48 taxa described in Sicyos or its segregate genera.

The delimitation of the segregate genera on fruit ornamentation was questioned by Jeffrey (1978, p 361). His conclusion that they are congeneric is followed and developed in this paper.

As a precursor to the Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii'i (Wagner et al., in press), this paper attempts to resolve some problems of taxonomy and nomenclature in Hawaiian Cucurbitaceae.

Status of the segregate genera.

As discussed by Jeffrey (1978, p 361), four of the genera segregated from Sicyos, namely Cladocarpa (H. St. John) H. St. John (1978b, p 491), Sicyocarya (A. Gray) H. St. John (1978a, p 407) and Skottsbergiliana H. St. John (1974, p 457), are better treated at sectional rank at most. All are consistent with the vegetative and floral syndromes of Sicyos, differing only in the fruit morphology which characterizes the sections.

The following new combination transfers a recently described species from Cladocarpa into Sicyos.


The single species of the fifth segregate genus, *Sarx alba* H. St. John (1978b, p 491) was segregated from *Sicyos cucumerinus* A. Gray because of its white fleshy fruit, despite mature fruit of *S. cucumerinus* being “still unknown.” St. John relegated Gray’s *S. cucumerinus* var. *B* to synonymy under *Sarx.* Recently collected fruit specimens of *S. cucumerinus* have been examined at BISH by the present author and the texture of the pericarp proved to be subsfleshy. Certainly the character of fleshy fruit alone is untenable for generic segregation. This taxonomic view of *Sarx* in relation to *Sicyos* conforms with the treatment of *Sechium* P. Br. by Jeffrey (1978, p 360). In *Sechium* also, the pericarp may be fleshy, fibrous or woody.

A new combination is provided below for *Sarx alba* in *Sicyos.*


Vegetatively and in floral morphology, *Sicyos cucumerinus* and *Sarx alba* are so similar that not only generic but also specific separation must be questioned. Field studies and additional collections of the two species are required before resolution of the species delimitation problem is attempted.

The identity of *Sicyos pachycarpus*.

The first species of *Sicyos* collected and named from the Hawaiian Islands was *S. pachycarpus* Hooker & Arn. (1832, p 83), collected by Collie on Diamond Head, O‘ahu in 1826-27. A. Gray (1854, p 650, t. 80), in his study of the U.S. Exploring Expedition’s collections of 1840, misapplied the name *S. pachycarpus* to an as yet undescribed species from the Wai‘anae Mountains, O‘ahu. The specimen of *S. pachycarpus sensu* Gray lies far outside the circumscription of Hooker & Arnott’s protologue. Gray must not have seen Collie’s type collection housed at Kew.

Cogniaux (1881, p 896) followed Gray’s misapplication and compounded it by citing more specimens. Hillebrand (1888, p 137) recognized a problem existed: “It is somewhat doubtful, however, if Gray’s *S. pachycarpus* is the...
same as that of Hooker & Arnott, for the latter authors assign a height of only 1 1/2-2" to their fruit, which points to S. microcarpa." Unfortunately, Hillebrand did not resolve the problem but instead also followed Gray's misapplication.

Collie's type gathering of S. pachycarpus raises another problem. The herbarium sheet at Kew originally consisted of two elements - one of these has been annotated by St. John as S. microcarpus H. Mann, the other as the lectotype (unpublished) of S. pachycarpus Hook. & Arn. The 'S. microcarpus' element has been remounted on a separate sheet.

Hooker & Arnott's protologue agrees better with St. John's 'S. microcarpus' element than with that proposed by him for lectotypification - 'female flowers are numerous in each capitulum...the capitulum itself is on a peduncle, about three-fourths of an inch long...fruit is ovate, about a line and a half long, suddenly attenuated into a beak which is almost half the length of the broad portion'.

The other element, i.e. St. John's 'S. pachycarpus' element, differs in fewer female flowers per head, the peduncles longer and more slender, the fruit not so abruptly contracted before the attenuation. This element belongs in S. waimanaloensis H. St. John (1987, p 192) and is readily distinguished from S. pachycarpus by the slower expansion of the leaf laminas as well as the characters above. The two species are sympatric in eastern O'ahu.

The 'S. microcarpus' element is here proposed as lectotype of S. pachycarpus.


The identity of Sicyos hillebrandii.

The name S. hillebrandii H. St. John (1934, p 7), based on S. laciniatus Hillebr. (1888, p 138) non L. (1753, p 1013), with the type from Kula, Maui, has been applied to few collections from Maui and to those from several populations on Hawai'i. The Hawaiian material differs from the type in several character states - staminate flowers 5-8 mm diameter; staminate inflorescences on peduncles 12-30 cm long; pistillate flower heads on peduncles 4-12 cm long; fruit 15-20 mm long, as well as geographic separation, but has hitherto been recognized only at varietal rank. It is here raised to the status of species.
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